Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/763,214	LAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Katherine A. Bareford	1762
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) Katherine A. Bareford.	(3)	
(2) <u>Patrick Stiennon</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 December 2005	Time:	•
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	,
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 3, 4, 8, 10, 12 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	ne examiner will provide a writton record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		·
Koth OBI		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	t/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In a first phone interview on Dec. 1, 2005, Mr. Stiennon agreed to an Examiner's Amendment to cancel claims 1 and 12 and amend claims 3, 4, 8 and 10 as shown on the attached Examiner's Amendment, to place the case in condition for allowance. In a second phone interview on Dec. 1, 2005, Mr. Stiennon also agreed to the provision of a new abstract in the Examiner's Amendment, as shown in the attached Examiner's Amendment, in order to place the case in condition for allowance.